Case 3:00-cr-00505-MJJ Document 645 Filed 02/02/2007 Page 1 of 4 THEODORE V. WELLS JR. (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) MOSES SILVERMAN (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) MICHAEL E. GERTZMAN (Admitted Pro Hac Vice) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 373-3000 4 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990 5 MICHAEL J. SHEPARD (State Bar No. 91281) MICHAEL A. ZWIBELMAN (State Bar No. 224783) 6 HELLER EHRMAN LLP 333 Bush Street San Francisco, California 94104-2878 8 Telephone: (415) 772-6000 Facsimile: (415) 772-6268 9 Attorneys for Defendant CHARLES W. McCALL 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 14 Case No.: CR-00-0505-MJJ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 15 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT 16 CHARLES W. MCCALL'S v. UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR 17 CHARLES W. McCALL and JAY M. ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT FOR 18 LAPINE. MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF Defendants. ACQUITTAL 19 20 The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins 21 Date: April 12, 2007 Time: 2:00 p.m. Courtroom 11, Nineteenth Floor 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 3:00-cr-00505-MJJ Document 645 Filed 02/02/2007 Page 2 of 4

The United States and defendants Charles W. McCall and Jay M. Lapine, through their respective counsel, stipulate and agree as follows:

## RECITALS

- 1. On June 3, 2003, Defendant Charles W. McCall was charged in seven counts of a Second Superseding Indictment. Trial on these charges began on September 11, 2006. On November 3, 2006, the jury returned a verdict acquitting Mr. McCall of Count One of the Indictment (conspiracy to commit securities fraud). The jury also informed the Court it was unable to reach a verdict as to the remaining counts of the Indictment, and a mistrial was declared as to those counts.
- 2. On November 14, 2006, this Court approved a stipulation allowing Mr. McCall to file a motion pursuant to Rule 29 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure on or before December 22, 2006. On December 21, that deadline was extended to February 2, 2007.
- 3. Criminal Local Rule 47-2(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-2(b) establish a 25-page limit for noticed motions in a criminal case. These rules apply to Mr. McCall's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal brought pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 29.
- 4. The parties agree that the length and complexity of the above-mentioned trial and the factual and legal issues raised in Mr. McCall's Motion for Judgment of Acquittal warrant additional attention beyond the page limit set forth in the local rules.
- 5. Counsel for the United States and Mr. Lapine do not oppose Mr. McCall's motion for administrative relief and agree that, with the Court's permission, Mr. McCall should be allowed to exceed the 25-page limit by four pages in his Motion for Judgment of Acquittal.

//

//

//

//

Case 3:00-cr-00505-MJJ Document 645 Filed 02/02/2007 Page 3 of 4 STIPULATION 2 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that Mr. 3 McCall's Unopposed Motion for Administrative Relief should be granted to allow him to 4 exceed the page limit for his Motion for Judgment of Acquittal. Mr. McCall, with the 5 Court's permission, shall be allowed to exceed the page limit by no more than four (4) 6 pages. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED. 8 9 DATED: February 2, 2007 Respectfully submitted, 10 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON, & **GARRISON LLP** 11 HELLER EHRMAN LLP 12 13 By 14 Attorneys for Defendant 15 CHARLES W. McCALL 16 DATED: February 2, 2007 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 17 18 19 20 Brian J. Stretch Office of the United States Attorney 21 450 Golden Gate Avenue Eleventh Floor 22 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 436-7200 23 (415) 436-7234 FAX 24 Attorneys for the United States 25

26

27

28

## Case 3:00-cr-00505-WHA Document 649 Filed 02/12/07 Page 4 of 4

	Case 3:00-cr-00505-MJJ Document 645 Filed 02/02/2007 Page 4 of 4
1	DATED: February 2, 2007 TOPEL & GOODMAN
2	
3	By Mehall of 2/01/07
4	Marcus S. Topel  Topel & Goodman  832 Sansome Street, Fourth Floor
5	832 Sansome Street, Fourth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 421-6140 (415) 398-5030 FAX
6	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant JAY M. LAPINE
9	
0	Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED.
1	DATED: February 12, 2007
2	THE HONORABLE WARDIN J. JENKINS
3	Umted States District Judge
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
	3